

CONDUCT OF SUB-COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES BY ADVISORY COMMITTEES

<u>Sub-Committee</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Recommended Action (for S.C.)</u>	<u>Comments</u>
<u>Intelligence</u>	Of little value	Dissolve S.C.	Committee has accomplished little and there is no apparent need for its continuance.
<u>Research & Analysis</u>	<u>Of no value</u>	Dissolve S.C.	That little work committee has done has been too specialized to be of value to agencies outside of CIA/USCIB.
<u>International Trade</u>	Of little value	Dissolve S.C. or combine with another S.C.	Committee has had very little to do and there appears to be no coordination or inter-agency problems which require S.C. action.
<u>Education</u>	Of value	Meetings as required to discuss work in progress in education and discuss foreign developments in this field.	Meetings should have a more specific purpose or else shouldn't continue.
<u>Equipment</u>	Of value	Meet only as required to oversee operation of activities started by the S.C.	Committee has started much time in useless projects and discussions.
<u>Shipping</u>	Of value	Coordination of research effort. Meetings as required.	Committee is off to a good start.
<u>Transportation</u>	Of value	Discussion of subjects of interest to all agencies as they arise.	A series of S.C. is of value but committees more than it is worth. Exchange of views and analysis is of value.
<u>Electronics & Telecommunications</u>	Of value	Continue as vehicle for review and exchange of information.	No major faults.

All sub-committee members in general feel that their sub-committee can fulfill a useful function in guiding the efforts of interested agencies so that important fields are adequately covered with a minimum of duplication. When sub-committees go beyond this and especially when they become involved in the production of intelligence the efforts have become much less fruitful and with rare exceptions much time has been wasted.